

Communication from Public

Name: Catherine E

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 10:46 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: PLEASE consider environmental concerns over financial gain!! I support: Alternative #1; the “Environmentally Superior Alternative.” which allows natural habitat areas to remain intact. The Zoo can still achieve animal care goals and will continue to be a world-class destination zoo. Alternative 1 reduces impacts by this project — by eliminating a huge special events facility, the “California Visitor Center,” and Alternative 1 rejects the funicular, the planted hillside vineyard, and a rock-climbing wall, thus protecting our native woodlands.

Communication from Public

Name: C. G. Jenkins

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 11:01 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I urge the Council to support Alternative 1, the “Environmentally Superior Alternative.” This plan allows natural habitat areas to remain intact. The Zoo can still achieve animal care goals and will continue to be a world-class destination zoo, per the EIR. Alternative 1 reduces impacts by this project — by eliminating a huge special events facility, the “California Visitor Center,” and Alternative 1 rejects the funicular, the planted hillside vineyard, and a rock-climbing wall. In Los Angeles, we have precious little open, natural space and should not reduce it for amusement-park-like features of a zoo.

Communication from Public

Name: Josella Gist

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 02:44 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: This is ridiculous. Zoo's are INHUMANE and now you want to demolish trees to expand SOLELY for profit of EXPLOITING CAGED ANIMALS???? STOP THIS!!!!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 08:51 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Please do not allow the zoo expansion into Griffith Park. Griffith Park has been such a blessing, allowing us to be in the beauty of nature so close to home. We have to protect it. Keep Griffith wild.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 09:54 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: The LA Zoo is planning an expansion which would include the consumption of 23 acres of native woodlands, including 120 coast live oaks, 60 toyons, 22 Southern California black walnuts, 21 Mexican elderberry trees, and stands of federally and state-listed endangered shrubs. This plan would include amusement park-like attractions. I am urging the adoption of only Alternative #1; the “Environmentally Superior Alternative.” This plan allows natural habitat areas to remain intact. The Zoo can still achieve animal care goals and will continue to be a world-class destination zoo. Alternative 1 reduces impacts by this project — by eliminating a huge special events facility, the “California Visitor Center,” and Alternative 1 rejects the funicular, the planted hillside vineyard, and a rock-climbing wall, thus protecting our native woodlands. Please go with this plan and preserve the precious wildlife and nature that exists.

Communication from Public

Name: Yessenia S.

Date Submitted: 12/06/2021 09:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I am incredibly against the expansion of the Zoo & the further destruction of the habitats of so many animals & flora. The last thing anyone needs is more machines & more harm to the natural environment taking over the greenery that we are so lucky to still have. #keepgriffithwild

Communication from Public

Name: Theresa

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 12:11 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Let the community vote. It's a public zoo, a public park, and millions of people are against this plan. If we're forced to organize to make you listen, we will do that. Redo the plan. Don't take out the native trees. We're in a climate crisis. How can you be concerned about animals without being concerned about trees, native plants, and all your human neighbors. Listen to the majority. Thank you Theresa Lownds

Communication from Public

Name: Sabrina Grant

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 01:15 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Griffith Park is a precious ecosystem within our city. Do not expand the Los Angeles Zoo at the expense of this beloved wilderness area with its protected and in danger flora and fauna. Destroying this habitat for the sake of the Olympics is a terrible idea and would be a travesty—an incredible loss for this city and the region.

Communication from Public

Name: Beaux Asunción Martin

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 08:19 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the destruction of our precious ecosystem.... Please Do Not expand the La Zoo

Communication from Public

Name: Roy Lehrer

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 12:05 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Please do not turn Griffith Park into an amusement park/destination...please maintain it as a respite from the hustle and bustle of the city...it is a rare jewel in this urban jungle and we would be derelict if we degrade it - LA would be a sadder place. The only workable alternative is #1...It does take the necessary steps to help improve the zoo by achieving the animal care goals and will allow also it continue to be a world-class destination zoo, while allowing the park to continue to be the oasis it was originally intended to by Mr. Griffith.

Communication from Public

Name: L.B. Slocum

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 12:19 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I stand with The Friends of Griffith Park in urging the adoption of only Alternative #1; the “Environmentally Superior Alternative.” This plan allows natural habitat areas to remain intact. The Zoo can still achieve animal care goals and will continue to be a world-class destination zoo. At this point in time it is unconscionable not to preserve as much semi wild space as possible for the good of the people, plants & wild animals of Los Angeles. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Stephenie Tesoro

Date Submitted: 12/08/2021 12:46 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I would like to express my support for Alternative #1, the “Environmentally Superior Alternative” of the Zoo's 20-year plan. There are plenty of amusement parks and activities in LA and nearby areas already. Please do not destroy the unique and irreplaceable gem that is Griffith Park with a project that will only bring more pollution and traffic, not to mention place unnecessary stress on the animals in the zoo AND those that call Griffith Park home.